BID INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

Fixed Price Competitive Bid Solicitation
Risk-Based Closure
515-517 ConchesterLLC

515 Conchester Highway
Boothwyn, Delaware County, Pennsylvania 19061
PADEP Facility ID #23-40351; PAUSTIF Claim #2002-0049(M)

PAUSTIF understands and appreciates the effort necessary to prepare a well-conceived response to a bid solicitation. As a courtesy, the following summary information is being provided to the bidders who submitted bid responses to the solicitation listed above.

Number of firms attending pre-bid meeting: 5
Number of bid responses received: 3

List of firms submitting bid responses (alphabetical order):
Environmental Alliance, Inc.
MIG Consulting, LLC
Synergy Environmental

This was a bid-to-result RFB; therefore, cost was less heavily weighted and the technical approach was more heavily weighted than for defined SOW RFBs.

The range in base bid cost associated with the bids received was $84,950.00 to $163,865.00. Based on the numerical scoring, one of the bids was determined to meet the "Reasonable and Necessary" criteria established by the Regulations and was deemed acceptable by the evaluation committee for PAUSTIF funding. The claimant reviewed and selected the acceptable bid.

The selected bidder was Environmental Alliance, Inc. - $89,494.56.

The attached sheet lists some general comments regarding the evaluation of the bids received for this solicitation. These comments are intended to provide general information that may assist in preparing bids in response to future solicitations.

GENERAL COMMENTS REGARDING EVALUATED BIDS

- Bids that did not include enough "original" (i.e., not copied verbatim from the RFB) language conveying bidder's thought such that the understanding of site conditions, closure approach, and approach to addressing the scope of work could be evaluated were regarded less favorably. Since bidders are not prequalified, the content of the bid response must equip the evaluation committee and Claimant to make a thorough and complete review of the bid and bidder.
- Some bids may not have adequately discussed or provided enough details to understand bidder's approach to evaluating groundwater data for demonstrating plume stability; fate and transport modeling; performing the ecological survey; soil attainment sampling; and / or soil vapor point installation / sampling.
- Some bids may not have adequately addressed or provided enough details to understand bidder's approach for performing the risk assessment.
- Some bids provided little to no insight on embracing the need to consult & interact with PADEP on necessity & conduct of the creek Ecological Survey, soil gas sampling, and risk assessment.
- Some bids were significantly higher in cost than others while pursing the same objectives.